



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/796,609

03/09/2004

Eiji Kato

FY.51042US1A

1785

20995

7590

05/28/2009

Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP  
2040 MAIN STREET  
FOURTEENTH FLOOR  
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER

ILAN, RUTH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3616

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/28/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com

eOAPilot@kmob.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/796,609	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KATO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ruth Ilan	<b>Art Unit</b> 3616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ruth Ilan. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael Guiliana. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 5/20/2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kosuge and Enokimoto et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the structural location of the detachable frame portion was discussed and it was suggested that further clarification regarding location be included in claim 1. With respect to claim 9, amendments relating to the relative width were proposed. Further consideration will be required, these amendments appear to define over Enokimoto et al.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ruth Ilan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3616	
--	--